1 2 3	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVA Alex Spiro (appearance pro hac vice) alexspiro@quinnemanuel.com 51 Madison Ave., 22nd Floor New York, NY 10010 Telephone: (212) 849-7000	AN, LLP
4	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVA	AN, LLP
5	Daniel C. Posner (CA Bar No. 232009) danposner@quinnemanuel.com	
6	Mari F. Henderson (CA Bar No. 307693) marihenderson@quinnemanuel.com	
7	865 S. Figueroa St., 10th Floor Los Angeles, California 90017	
8	Telephone: (213) 443-3000 Facsimile: (213) 443-3100	
9	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &SULLIVA	N, LLP
10	Asher Griffin (appearance <i>pro hac vice</i> ) ashergriffin@quinnemanuel.com	
11	300 W. 6th St., Suite 2010 Austin, TX 78701	
12	Telephone: (737) 667-6100	
13	Attorneys for Defendant Tesla, Inc	
14		
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
16	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
17	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
18		
19	OWEN DIAZ,	Case No. 3:17-cv-06748-WHO
20	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] AMENDED JUDGMENT
21	vs.	
22	TESLA, INC. DBA TESLA MOTORS, INC.,	
23	Defendant.	
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		Case No. 3:17-cv-06748-WHO

[PROPOSED] AMENDED JUDGMENT

On September 27, 2021, this matter came on for jury trial before the Hon. William H. Orrick. After a six-day jury trial, on October 4, 2021, the jury rendered a unanimous verdict in favor of plaintiff Owen Diaz and against defendant Tesla, Inc. and awarded Mr. Diaz Six Million, Nine Hundred Thousand dollars (\$6,900,000) in compensatory damages and One Hundred Thirty Million dollars (\$130,000,000) in punitive damages, for a total amount of One Hundred Thirty-Six Million, Nine Hundred Thousand dollars (\$136,900,000) in damages. [Dkt. No. 301]. The Court entered judgment in accordance with the jury's verdict. [Dkt. No. 307].

On November 16, 2021, Defendant Tesla, Inc. moved for judgment as a matter of law, moved in the alternative for a new trial as to liability, and moved in the further alternative for remittitur or new trial as to damages. [Dkt. No. 317].

On April 12, 2022, the Court denied Tesla's motion for new trial as to damages conditioned on Mr. Diaz accepting a remittitur to One Million, Five Hundred Thousand dollars (\$1,500,000) in compensatory damages and Thirteen Million, Five Hundred Thousand dollars (\$13,500,000) in punitive damages, for a total amount of Fifteen Million dollars (\$15,000,000). [Dkt. No. 328].

On June 21, 2022, Mr. Diaz rejected the remittitur. [Dkt. No. 347].

On June 27, 2022, the Court accordingly granted Tesla a new trial on damages. [Dkt. No. 348].

On March 27, 2023, this matter came on for jury trial on damages before the Hon. William H. Orrick. The action was tried for five days from March 27, 2023 to March 31, 2023. On April 3, 2023, the jury rendered a unanimous verdict. [Dkt. No. 463].

The jury awarded Mr. Diaz past non-economic damages of One Hundred Thousand dollars (\$100,000), future non-economic damages of Seventy-Five Thousand dollars (\$75,000), and punitive damages of Three Million dollars (\$3,000,000), for a total amount of Three Million One Hundred Seventy-Five Thousand dollars (\$3,175,000).

The punitive damages award exceeds the maximum permitted by law. It is reduced to One Million Five Hundred Seventy-Five Thousand dollars (\$1,575,000). The amended total damages award is One Million Seven Hundred Fifty Thousand dollars (\$1,750,000).

## Case 3:17-cv-06748-WHO Document 479-1 Filed 05/09/23 Page 3 of 3

1	Judgment is hereby entered in accordance with the jury's verdict of October 4, 2021 as to		
2	liability, and the jury's verdict of April 3, 2023 as to damages, as amended.		
3	The Court retains jurisdiction to determine the additional amounts that Tesla shall be		
4	required to pay to Mr. Diaz as reasonable statutory attorneys' fees and costs.		
5	Post-judgment interest at the rate provided by law shall accrue on the award of damages		
6	commencing from April 11, 2023, the date of entry of the original Judgment and shall accrue on		
7	the award of fees, expenses, and costs, commencing from the date such amounts are awarded.		
8	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
9			
10	Dated:		
11	William H. Orrick		
12	United States District Judge		
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	-3- Case No. 3:17-cv-06748-WHO		

[PROPOSED] AMENDED JUDGMENT